



Roshni

Tata Power-DDL's Corporate Mascot

Vigil Mechanism

1. Preface

- a. The Company believes in the conduct of the affairs of its constituents in a fair and transparent manner by adopting highest standards of professionalism, honesty, integrity and ethical behaviour. Towards this end, the Company has adopted the Tata Code of Conduct ("the Code") as prevalent from time to time, which lays down the principles and standards that should govern the actions of the Company, its stakeholders and its employees. Any actual or potential violation of the Code, howsoever insignificant or perceived as such, would be a matter of serious concern for the Company. The role of the Directors, Employees and stakeholders in pointing out such violations of the Code cannot be undermined. There is a provision under the Code requiring employees as well as stakeholders to report violations, which states:

"Raising Concerns

"We encourage our employees, customers, suppliers and other stakeholders to raise concerns or make disclosures when they become aware of any actual or potential violation of our Code, policies or law. We also encourage reporting of any event (actual or potential) of misconduct that is not reflective of our values and principles. Avenues available for raising concerns or queries or reporting cases could include:

- ❖ Online Ethics Portal through Website
- ❖ IVRS (19124)
- ❖ Ethics Box
- ❖ E-mail (ethics@tatapower-ddl.com)
- ❖ Chief Ethics Counsellor/Locational Ethics Counsellor
- ❖ 'Confidential Reporting' Third Party Ethics Helpline

We do not tolerate any form of retaliation against anyone reporting legitimate concerns. Anyone involved in targeting such a person will be subject to disciplinary action. If you suspect that you or someone you know has been subjected to retaliation for raising a concern or for reporting a case, we encourage you to promptly contact your line manager, LEC, the Company's Chief Ethics Counsellor, the Human Resources department, the CEO or the office of the group's Chief Ethics Officer."



- b. Section 177(9) and (10) read with rule 7 of Chapter XII of the Companies Act, 2013 (the Act) mandates the following classes of companies to constitute a vigil mechanism –
- Every listed company;
 - Every other company which accepts deposits from the public;
 - Every company which has borrowed money from banks and public financial institutions in excess of ₹ 50 crore.
 - For the Directors and employees.
- c. Accordingly, this Vigil Mechanism has been formulated with a view to provide a mechanism for directors, employees as well as other stakeholders of the Company to approach the Chief Ethics Counsellor / Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company to report concerns of unethical behaviour, actual or suspected, fraud or violation of the company's code of conduct or ethics policy. This mechanism shall provide adequate safeguards against victimization of persons who use such mechanism and shall also ensure direct access to the Chief Ethics Counsellor or Chairman of the Audit Committee in appropriate or exceptional cases.
- d. The Audit Committee shall review the functioning of the Vigil Mechanism, at least once in a financial year.
- e. The Vigil Mechanism will be displayed on the website of the Company.

2. Definitions

The definitions of some of the key terms used in this mechanism are given below. Capitalised terms not defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to them under the Code.

- a. **“Audit Committee”** means the Audit Committee of Directors constituted by the Board of Directors of the Company in accordance with Section 177 of the Act.
- b. **“Employee”** means every employee of the Company (whether working in India or abroad), including contractual employees and the directors in the employment of the Company.
- c. **“Code”** means the Tata Code of Conduct.
- d. **“Chief Ethics Counsellor (CEC)”** means the CEC of Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited, who is the process owner for Business Ethics under Tata Code of Conduct. CEC is to be appointed by the Audit Committee based on recommendation of CEO.
- e. **“Director”** means every Director of the Company, past or present.



TATA POWER-DDL

- f. **“Investigators”** mean those persons authorised, appointed, consulted or approached by the CEC/Chairman of the Audit Committee and includes the auditors of the Company and the police.
- g. **“Protected Disclosure”** means any communication made in good faith that discloses or demonstrates information that may evidence unethical or improper activity. However, the Disclosures should be factual and not speculative or in the nature of an interpretation/conclusion and should contain as much specific information as possible to allow for proper assessment of the nature and extent of the concern.
- h. **“Stakeholders”** means and includes vendors, suppliers, lenders, customers, business associates, trainee and others with whom the Company has any financial or commercial dealings.
- i. **“Subject”** means a person against or in relation to whom a Protected Disclosure has been made or evidence gathered during the course of an investigation.
- j. **“Complainant”** means an Employee or director or any stakeholder making a Protected Disclosure under this Mechanism.
- k. **“Associates”** means and includes vendors, suppliers and others with whom the Company has any financial or commercial dealings.

3. Scope

- a. This mechanism covers malpractices and events which have been taken place/suspected to have taken place, misuse or abuse of authority, fraud or suspected fraud, violation of company’s rules/policies/guidelines, manipulations, negligence causing danger to public health and safety, misappropriation of monies and other matters or activities on account of which the interest of the company is affected and formally reported by employees/Directors/Stakeholders.
- b. This mechanism is an extension of the Tata Code of Conduct. The Complainant’s role is that of a reporting party with reliable information. They are not required or expected to act as investigators or finders of facts, nor would they determine the appropriate corrective or remedial action that may be warranted in a given case.
- c. The employees of the Company can also report instances of leak of Unpublished Price Sensitive Information (UPSI) under the mechanism.
- d. Complainant’s should not act on their own in conducting any investigative activities, nor do they have a right to participate in any investigative activities other than as requested by the CEC or the Chairman of the Audit Committee or the Investigators.

(3)



TATA POWER-DDL

- e. Protected Disclosure will be appropriately dealt with by the CEC or the Chairman of the Audit Committee, as the case may be.

4. Eligibility

All Employees, Directors and stakeholders of the Company are eligible to make Protected Disclosures under the Mechanism. The Protected Disclosures may be in relation to matters concerning the Company or any other Tata Company.

5. Disqualifications

- a. While it will be ensured that genuine complainants are accorded complete protection from any kind of unfair treatment as herein set out, any abuse of this protection will warrant disciplinary action.
- b. Protection under this mechanism would not mean protection from disciplinary action arising out of false or bogus allegations made by a complainant knowing it to be false or bogus or with a *mala fide* intention.
- c. The Company/Audit Committee would reserve its right to take/recommend appropriate disciplinary action against complainants who make three or more Protected Disclosures, which have been subsequently found to be *mala fide*, frivolous, baseless, malicious, or reported otherwise than in good faith.

6. Procedure

- a. All Protected Disclosures concerning major financial/accounting matters should be addressed to the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company for investigation.
- b. In respect of all other Protected Disclosures, those concerning the CEC and employees at the work level of G.M and above should be addressed to the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company and those concerning other employees should be addressed to the CEC of the Company.
- c. The contact details of the Chairman of the Audit Committee and of the CEC of the Company are as under:

Mr. Ashok Sinha, Chairman – Audit Committee
C/o Chief Ethics Counsellor
NDPL House, Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,
Delhi – 110009
E-mail: ethics@tatapower-ddl.com



TATA POWER-DDL

**Mr. Bharat Kumar Chhabra
Chief Ethics Counsellor
Tata Power-DDL Office
CENCARE, Opposite C-2 Block,
Lawrence Road, Keshav Puram,
New Delhi - 110 035
E-mail: ethics@tatapower-ddl.com**

If a protected disclosure is received by any executive of the Company other than Chairman of Audit Committee or the CEC, the same should be forwarded to the Company's CEC or the Chairman of the Audit Committee for further appropriate action. Appropriate care must be taken to keep the identity of the complainant confidential.

- d. Protected Disclosures should preferably be reported in writing so as to ensure a clear understanding of the issues raised and should either be typed or written in a legible handwriting in English, Hindi or in the regional language of the place of employment of the complainant.
- e. The Protected Disclosure should be forwarded under a covering letter which shall bear the identity of the complainant. The Chairman of the Audit Committee / CEC, as the case may be shall detach the covering letter and forward only the Protected Disclosure to the Investigators for investigation.
- f. Protected Disclosures should be factual and not speculative or in the nature of a conclusion, and should contain as much specific information as possible to allow for proper assessment of the nature and extent of the concern and the urgency of a preliminary investigative procedure.
- g. The complainant must disclose his/her identity in the covering letter forwarding such Protected Disclosure. Anonymous disclosures are not favoured as it would not be possible to interview the complainants. However, when an anonymous complainant provides specific and credible information that supports the complaint, such as alleged perpetrators, location and type of incident, names of other personnel aware of the issue, specific evidence, amounts involved etc. while choosing to maintain anonymity, then there are often sufficient grounds for the Company to consider an investigation into the complaint.

7. Investigation

- a. All Protected Disclosures reported under this Mechanism will be thoroughly investigated by the CEC / Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Company who will investigate / oversee the investigations under the authorization of the Audit Committee. If any member of the Audit Committee has a conflict of interest in any given case, then he/she should recuse himself/herself and the other members of the Audit Committee should deal with



the matter on hand. In case where a company is not required to constitute an Audit Committee, then the Board of directors shall nominate a director to play the role of Audit Committee for the purpose of vigil mechanism to whom other directors, employees and stakeholders may report their concerns.

- b. The CEC / Chairman of the Audit Committee may at their discretion, consider involving any Investigators for the purpose of investigation.
- c. The decision to conduct an investigation taken by the CEC / Chairman of the Audit Committee is by itself not an accusation and is to be treated as a neutral fact-finding process. The outcome of the investigation may not support the conclusion of the complainant that an improper or unethical act was committed.
- d. The identity of a Subject will be kept confidential to the extent possible given the legitimate needs of law and the investigation.
- e. Subjects will normally be informed of the allegations at the outset of a formal investigation and have opportunities for providing their inputs during the investigation.
- f. Subjects shall have a duty to co-operate with the CEC / Chairman of the Audit Committee or any of the Investigators during investigation to the extent that such co-operation will not compromise self-incrimination protections available under the applicable laws.
- g. Subjects have a right to consult with a person or persons of their choice, other than the CEC / Investigators and/or members of the Audit Committee and/or the complainant. Subjects shall be free at any time to engage counsel at their own cost to represent them in the investigation proceedings.
- h. Subjects have a responsibility not to interfere with the investigation. Evidence shall not be withheld, destroyed or tampered with, and witnesses shall not be influenced, coached, threatened or intimidated by the Subjects.
- i. Unless there are compelling reasons not to do so, Subjects will be given the opportunity to respond to material findings contained in an investigation report. No allegation of wrongdoing against a Subject shall be considered as maintainable unless there is good evidence in support of the allegation.
- j. Subjects have a right to be informed of the outcome of the investigation. If allegations are not sustained, the Subject should be consulted as to whether public disclosure of the investigation results would be in the best interest of the Subject and the Company.



TATAPOWER-DDL

- k. The investigation shall be completed normally within 45 days and not more than 90 days of the receipt of the Protected Disclosure. Sufficient monitoring shall be done to avoid delay in investigation process.

8. Protection

- a. No unfair treatment will be meted out to a complainant by virtue of his/her having reported a Protected Disclosure under this mechanism. The Company, as a mechanism, condemns any kind of discrimination, harassment, victimization or any other unfair employment practice being adopted against complainants. Complete protection will, therefore, be given to complainants against any unfair practice like retaliation, threat or intimidation of termination/suspension of service, disciplinary action, transfer, demotion, refusal of promotion, or the like including any direct or indirect use of authority to obstruct the complainant's right to continue to perform his/her duties/functions including making further Protected Disclosure. Reasonable out-of-pocket expenses as per the company policy will be reimbursed on submission of actual receipts. The Company will take steps to minimize difficulties, which the complainant may experience as a result of making the Protected Disclosure. Thus, if the complainant is required to give evidence in criminal or disciplinary proceedings, the Company will arrange for the complainant to receive advice about the procedure, etc.
- b. A complainant may report any violation of the above clause to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, who shall investigate into the same and recommend suitable action to the management.
- c. The identity of the complainant shall be kept confidential to the extent possible and permitted under law. Complainants are cautioned that their identity may become known for reasons outside the control of the CEC / Chairman of the Audit Committee (e.g. during investigations carried out by Investigators).
- d. Any other Employee or Director assisting in the said investigation shall also be protected to the same extent as the complainant.

9. Investigators

- a. Investigators are required to conduct a process towards fact-finding and analysis. Investigators shall derive their authority and access rights from the CEC / Audit Committee when acting within the course and scope of their investigation.
- b. Technical and other resources may be drawn upon as necessary to augment the investigation. All Investigators shall be independent and unbiased both in fact and as perceived. Investigators have a duty of fairness, objectivity, thoroughness, ethical behaviour, and observance of legal and professional standards.



- c. Investigations will be launched only after a preliminary review which establishes that:
- I. the alleged act constitutes an improper or unethical activity or conduct, and
 - II. either the allegation is supported by information specific enough to be investigated, or matters that do not meet this standard may be worthy of management review, but investigation itself should not be undertaken as an investigation of an improper or unethical activity.

10. Decision

If an investigation leads the CEC / Chairman of the Audit Committee to conclude that an improper or unethical act has been committed, the Management shall recommend appropriate disciplinary or corrective action to the Chairman of the Audit Committee for his consideration and approval. It is clarified that any disciplinary or corrective action initiated against the Subject as a result of the findings of an investigation pursuant to this mechanism shall adhere to the applicable personnel or staff conduct and disciplinary procedures.

11. Reporting

The CEC shall submit a report to the Audit Committee on a regular basis about all Protected Disclosures referred to him/her since the last report together with the results of investigations, if any.

12. Retention of documents

All Protected Disclosures in writing or documented along with the results of investigation relating thereto shall be retained by the Company for a minimum period of seven years.

13. Amendment

The Company reserves its right to amend or modify this Mechanism in whole or in part, at any time without assigning any reason whatsoever. However, no such amendment or modification will be binding on the directors, employees and stakeholders unless the same is notified to the Directors and Employees in writing and displayed on the website in case of stakeholders.